

2013

# Potassium Uptake and Recycling to the Soil in Corn and Soybean

Antonio P. Mallarino

*Iowa State University*, [apmallar@iastate.edu](mailto:apmallar@iastate.edu)

Ryan R. Oltmans

*Iowa State University*, [oltma028@iastate.edu](mailto:oltma028@iastate.edu)

Bernard J. Havlovic

*Iowa State University*, [bhavlovi@iastate.edu](mailto:bhavlovi@iastate.edu)

Follow this and additional works at: [http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms\\_reports](http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports)



Part of the [Agricultural Science Commons](#), [Agriculture Commons](#), and the [Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

Mallarino, Antonio P.; Oltmans, Ryan R.; and Havlovic, Bernard J., "Potassium Uptake and Recycling to the Soil in Corn and Soybean" (2013). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 1862.

[http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms\\_reports/1862](http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/1862)

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [digirep@iastate.edu](mailto:digirep@iastate.edu).

---

# Potassium Uptake and Recycling to the Soil in Corn and Soybean

## **Abstract**

Research has been conducted at the ISU Armstrong Research Farm, Lewis, Iowa, to study potassium (K) fertilization rates and placement methods on corn and soybean grain yield, K uptake, and soil-test K values. However, no research has investigated K recycling to the soil by maturing plants and crop residue until the next crop is planted. The amount and the timing of the K recycled to the soil should have a significant impact on soiltest K values, and should explain a great deal of usually very high soil-test K temporal variability. Therefore, plots of several field K trials at this farm were used to investigate these issues.

## **Keywords**

RFR A1298, Agronomy

## **Disciplines**

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Agronomy and Crop Sciences

# Potassium Uptake and Recycling to the Soil in Corn and Soybean

## RFR-A1298

Antonio Mallarino, professor  
Ryan Oltmans, graduate assistant  
Department of Agronomy  
Bernard Havlovic, farm superintendent

### Introduction

Research has been conducted at the ISU Armstrong Research Farm, Lewis, Iowa, to study potassium (K) fertilization rates and placement methods on corn and soybean grain yield, K uptake, and soil-test K values. However, no research has investigated K recycling to the soil by maturing plants and crop residue until the next crop is planted. The amount and the timing of the K recycled to the soil should have a significant impact on soil-test K values, and should explain a great deal of usually very high soil-test K temporal variability. Therefore, plots of several field K trials at this farm were used to investigate these issues.

### Materials and Methods

The study involved two field trials during the crop years 2009 and 2010, although the last crop residue and soil samples were collected in spring 2011. The trials included several K treatments for corn-soybean rotations. For this study we used plots of a control that had received no K fertilizer and plots that received an annual rate of 180 lb K<sub>2</sub>O/acre (granulated 0-0-62 fertilizer). In 2009, one trial included two different hybrids, which were considered separately for this study. Therefore, there were a total of three site-years for corn and two for soybean.

Plant samples were taken from all plots at the physiological maturity (PM) and at grain harvest. At PM, six corn plants and soybean

plants from 15 sq ft of each plot were cut two in. above ground level, and were separated into grain and all other plant parts (stalks, leaves, and cobs for corn; and stems, leaves, and pod shells for soybean). At grain harvest, similar sampling procedures were followed for 10 corn plants and soybean plants in 50 sq ft. The residue sampled from each plot was divided into five equal portions, and one was removed at harvest time. The other four residue portions were placed in a mesh plastic bag flat on top of non-tilled ground, and one bag was removed approximately every 45 days from early December until early April. The plant, grain, and residue samples were dried, weighed, and analyzed for K concentration to calculate dry matter yields and K accumulation.

### Results and Discussion

*Potassium Uptake and Removal.* As expected, corn and soybean yields, tissue K concentrations, K accumulation in tissues, and the crop response to the 180-lb K rate varied greatly across trials and years. For this report, however, we show and discuss only averages across all trials and years for each crop and K rate.

Table 1 shows that K fertilization did not increase corn or soybean grain yield, which was explained by adequate soil-test K levels. Also, K fertilization for both crops had small decreasing or increasing effects on grain K concentration, grain K accumulation, and residue dry matter yield (6% decrease to 5% increase). However, for both crops K fertilization caused very large increases for K accumulation in vegetative parts at PM, residue K concentration at harvest time, and K accumulation in the residue, although the increase was higher for corn than soybean (34

to 43% increase for corn and 14 to 28% for soybean).

The results in Table 1 confirmed a much lower grain K concentration in corn than soybean and showed a much lower grain K concentration in corn grain than in corn residue but a higher concentration in soybean grain than in soybean residue. An important result for crop production and K management was that K fertilization may or may not increase corn or soybean yield, but always has a smaller effect on K removal with grain harvest than in K accumulation in residue, which recycles to the soil.

The differences between corn and soybean in the distribution of K between grain and residue and in the dry matter production are important for K management. These differences determine that harvest of corn residue in addition to grain harvest has a much greater impact on K removed from fields compared with grain harvest alone and soybean harvest. Corn residue often is being harvested for feed and bedding in this region of Iowa and may be harvested for bioenergy in the future.

*Potassium Recycling to the Soil.* The K accumulation in corn and soybean vegetative parts reached a maximum at the PM growth stage and then decreased over time. Figure 1 shows this trend for each crop by plotting the amount of K remaining in plant tissue expressed as a percentage of the maximum observed at PM. The K recycling trend over time was similar for both K rates, except for higher K levels with the 180-lb rate, and was more gradual for corn than for soybean. The sharpest K loss to the soil occurred between PM and early December, but soybean lost about 80 percent of the K whereas corn lost only about 40 percent. The additional residue K loss during winter and early spring were very small for soybean but still large for corn.

By early April, 16 and 51 percent on average of the K remained in soybean and corn residue, respectively.

Study of effects of precipitation on K loss from plant tissue to the soil is not completed at this time. Preliminary results show that in order to reach a certain K loss, more rain is needed for corn residue than for soybean residue. This was explained by slower leaching of K from within the cornstalks.

The trends of K recycling to the soil partly explained temporal soil-test K variation. On average across all sampled plots, soil-test K taken in early April was 13 ppm higher than in samples taken about a week after the previous fall crop harvest.

### **Conclusions**

Potassium fertilization increases the K accumulation in crop vegetative tissue more than in grain regardless of the yield response, which increases the amount of K recycled to the soil when only grain is harvested. There is a large K loss from mature plant tissue and residue to the soil mainly in the fall, which occurred earlier and to a larger extent for soybean than for corn. The significant K recycling to the soil partly explained lower soil-test K values early in the fall than in spring.

### **Acknowledgements**


We recognize support by the Iowa Soybean Association Checkoff and the International Plant Nutrition Institute.

**Table 1. Dry matter yield and K content of corn and soybean plant parts.†**

Stage	Plant part	Measurement	Corn			Soybean		
			K0	K180	% Inc	K0	K180	% Inc
Phys. Mat.	Vegetative	K accum., lb K <sub>2</sub> O/acre	101	136	34	103	132	28
Harvest	Grain	Yield DM‡, bu/acre	173	173	0	63	63	0
		K conc., %	0.29	0.30	5	1.89	1.98	5
		K accum., lb K <sub>2</sub> O/acre	33.6	35.0	4	86.1	89.9	4
	Residue	Yield ton, DM/acre	4.4	4.1	-6	1.5	1.4	-3
		K conc., %	0.76	1.09	43	1.12	1.31	18
		K accum., lb K <sub>2</sub> O/acre	80.4	107.8	34	39.5	45.0	14

†Data for corn (3 site-years) and soybean (2 site-years) sometimes were for different trials and years so care should be taken with direct comparisons.

‡DM, dry matter.



**Figure 1. Amount of K remaining in corn and soybean residue over time expressed relative to the maximum amount in vegetative tissue at the physiological maturity growth stage for two K treatments (means across all site-years for each crop).**